

Zero-Freeness For Mean-Field Spin Glasses

Recall: We consider mixed p-spin models, with Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_G(\sigma) := \sum_{p=2}^{p_{\max}} \frac{\gamma_p}{n^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_p=1}^n G_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \prod_{j=1}^p \sigma_{i_j},$$

where $G_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ and $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For inverse temp.

$\beta > 0$, we take our Gibbs measure to be:

$$d\mu_{G, \beta}(\sigma) := \exp(\beta \mathcal{H}_G(\sigma)) d\rho(\sigma).$$

for ρ uniform on either:

1) $\mathcal{C}_n = \{\pm 1\}^n$ (Ising case),

2) $\mathcal{S}_n = \{\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\sigma\|_2^2 = n\}$ (spherical case).

Moreover we define a mixture function $\mathbb{E}_G[\mathcal{H}_G(\tau)\mathcal{H}_G(\sigma)] = n \cdot \xi\left(\frac{\langle \tau, \sigma \rangle}{n}\right)$

$$\xi(s) := \sum_{p=2}^{p_{\max}} \gamma_p^2 s^p.$$

We are interested in the zeros of

$$Z_G(\beta) := \mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim \rho} [\exp(\beta \mathcal{H}_G(\sigma))].$$

Indeed, last week, Milos showed that if $Z_G(\beta)$ is zero-free on a suff. large disk about the origin, then we can approximate its free energy $\log Z_G(\beta)$ via a Taylor series with $O(\log n / \eta)$ many terms and $\pm \eta$ additive error. We first defined

$$h(m) := \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} ((1-m) \log(1-m) + (1+m) \log(1+m)), & \rho = \text{Unif}(\mathcal{C}_n), \\ \frac{1}{2} \log(1-m^2), & \rho = \text{Unif}(\mathcal{S}_n). \end{cases}$$

If $\beta \geq 0$ and $\Psi(m) := \beta^2 \xi(m) + h(m)$, then

$$\beta_{\text{2nd}}(\epsilon, \xi) := \sup \{ \beta \geq 0 : \Psi''(0) < 0 \text{ and } \Psi(m) < 0, m \in [-1, 1] \setminus \{0\} \}.$$

For this threshold, [BHLLR '25] shows the following.

Thm: For any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, $\exists \delta = \delta(n, \epsilon) \rightarrow 0^+$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that w.p. $1 - \delta$ over G , $Z_G(\beta)$ is nonzero on the disk $\mathbb{D}(0, (1 - \epsilon)\beta_{\text{2nd}})$.

Crucially, sub zero-freeness gives access to a poly. time algorithm for approximating $Z_G(\beta)$ up to arbitrary accuracy, as shown by Vilas.

However, the result does not follow simply applying Jensen's formula to $Z_G(\beta)$. By Jensen, Vilas showed that for any $f_G: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ G -meromorphic that is analytic w/ $f_G(0) \neq 0$, then for $R = (1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2})\beta_{\text{2nd}}$, $r = (1 - \epsilon)\beta_{\text{2nd}}$,

$$\mathbb{E}_G [\# \{w \in \mathbb{D}(0, r) : f_G(w) = 0\}] \leq \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \mathbb{E}_0 \log \mathbb{E}_G \left| \frac{f_G(Re^{i\theta})}{f_G(0)} \right|^2.$$

Need this to be $1 + o_n(1)$!

If we simply take $f_G(\beta) = Z_G(\beta)$, then we would get, with some algebra:

$$\mathbb{E}_G [\# \{w \in \mathbb{D}(0, r) : f_G(w) = 0\}] \leq \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \log \left((1 - R^2 \xi''(0))^{-1/2} + o_n(1) \right).$$

$\xi''(0) = 2\tau_2^2$

This is only useful (at least to combine zero-freeness via Markov) if

$\gamma_2 = 0$, i.e., **no quadratic interactions!** This should hint at our desired reweighting, which should satisfy:

$$X_G(\beta) := A_G(\beta) Z_G(\beta) = 1 + o_n(1)$$

$A_G(\beta)$ should be analytic with $A_G(0) = 1$. If so, we would obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_G [\# \{w \in \mathbb{D}(0, r) : X_G(w) = 0\}] \leq o_n(1),$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[\# \{w \in \mathbb{D}(0, r) : Z_G(w) = 0\} \geq 1] &\leq \mathbb{P}[\# \{w \in \mathbb{D}(0, r) : X_G(w) = 0\} \geq 1] \\ &\leq o_n(1), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $Z_G(w)$ is zero-free on $\mathbb{D}(0, r) = \mathbb{D}(0, (1-\varepsilon)\beta_{\text{end}})$ w.h.p.!

Cluster Expansion

To find an appropriate $A_G(\beta)$, we carry out a cluster expansion in the quadratic part of G . Specifically, we provide a heuristic derivation in Ising case; we anticipate the spherical case to be the same in the second moment phase because the overlap distributions are similar. Now,

$$Z_G(\beta) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{C}^n} \exp(\beta \mathcal{H}_G(\sigma)).$$

We then need $A_G(\beta) \approx Z_G(\beta)^{-1}$. We begin by writing:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_G(\sigma) &:= \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla^2 \mathcal{H}_G(0) \sigma, \sigma \rangle + \mathcal{H}_{G \geq 3} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle M \sigma, \sigma \rangle + \mathcal{H}_{G \geq 3}. \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

We can explicitly compute

$$M := \nabla^2 \mathcal{H}_G(0) = \frac{\gamma_2}{\sqrt{n}} \left(G_{i,j} + G_{j,i} \right)_{i,j=1}^n$$

$$= \frac{\xi''(0)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2n}} \prod_{i,j=1}^n (G_{i,j} + G_{j,i})$$

Note that entries of M satisfy:

$$M_{i,j} \sim \begin{cases} \mathcal{W}(0, \frac{\xi''(0)}{n}), & i \neq j, \\ \mathcal{W}(0, 2\frac{\xi''(0)}{n}), & i = j. \end{cases}$$

We also take $z := z(\beta) = \beta \xi''(0)^{1/2}$, which satisfies:

Fact: $z(\beta_{2nd}) = \beta_{2nd} \xi''(0) \leq 1$. In the def of β_{2nd} , $h''(0) = -1$, so $0 \geq \mathcal{U}''(0) = \beta_{2nd}^2 \xi''(0) - 1$.

Finally, note that $\mathcal{H}_{\geq 3}$ is a spin glass with mixture function

$$\xi_{\geq 3}(s) = \sum_{p=3}^{p_{max}} \sigma_p^2 s^p = \xi(s) - \frac{1}{2} \xi''(0) s^2.$$

We begin by simply integrating (1) over all terms degree 3 and higher, anticipating that all such terms will only contribute $1 + o_n(1)$ multiplicative fluctuations upon exponentiating. Specifically, observe that

$\mathcal{H}_{\geq 3}(\sigma)$ is a centered Gaussian process w/ covariance $n \xi_{\geq 3}(\frac{\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle}{n})$.

Using the usual formula for the MGF of a Gaussian,

→ Apply Hoeffding's inequality to see $\frac{\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle}{n}$ concentrates about $\frac{1}{n}$.

$$\mathbb{E}_{\sigma \geq 3} [\exp(\beta \mathcal{H}_{\geq 3}(\sigma))] = \exp\left(\frac{n\beta^2}{2} \xi_{\geq 3}(1)\right)$$

Hence, we approximate

$$Z_0(\beta) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{C}^n} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{2} \langle M\sigma, \sigma \rangle\right) \exp(\beta \mathcal{H}_{\geq 3}(\sigma))$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{C}^n} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{2} \langle M\sigma, \sigma \rangle\right) \exp\left(\frac{n\beta^2}{2} \xi_{\geq 3}(1)\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{n\beta^2}{2} \xi(1) - \frac{n z^2}{4}\right) \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_n} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{2} \langle M \sigma, \sigma \rangle\right).$$

We can now expand the remaining sum containing degree two interactions via a cluster expansion. To describe the resulting interaction term, we define, for some $K = K(n) > 0$:

$$1) \quad UC(n; K) := \left\{ \Gamma \subseteq \binom{[n]}{2} : \Gamma = \text{edges of vertex disjoint union of cycles with } |\Gamma| \leq K \right\},$$

$$2) \quad Er(n; K) := \left\{ \Gamma \subseteq \binom{[n]}{2} : \Gamma = \text{edges of graph with even degrees, } |\Gamma| \leq K \right\},$$

$$3) \quad Cy(n; K) := \left\{ \gamma \subseteq \binom{[n]}{2} : \gamma = \text{edges of a cycle, } |\gamma| \leq K \right\}.$$

Now, we can write

$$\exp\left(\frac{\beta}{2} \langle M \sigma, \sigma \rangle\right) = \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n M_{i,i} \sigma_i\right) \exp\left(\beta \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} M_{i,j} \sigma_i \sigma_j\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{2} \text{Tr}(M)\right) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \exp\left(\beta M_{i,j} \sigma_i \sigma_j\right).$$

Because for $s \in \{\pm 1\}$, $e^{s\alpha} = \cosh(\alpha) + s \sinh(\alpha) = \cosh(\alpha)(1 + s \tanh(\alpha))$,

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_n} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{2} \langle M \sigma, \sigma \rangle\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{2} \text{Tr}(M)\right) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \cosh(\beta M_{i,j}).$$

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 + \sigma_i \sigma_j \tanh(\beta M_{ij})).$$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{2} \text{Tr}(M)\right) \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \cosh(\beta M_{ij}) \right) \left(\sum_{\Gamma \in E(n)} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} \tanh(\beta M_e) \right). \quad (2)$$

(I) ↓
(II) ↗
(III) ↓

Indeed,

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 + \sigma_i \sigma_j \tanh(\beta M_{ij})) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_n} \sum_{\Gamma \subseteq \binom{[n]}{2}} \left(\prod_{e \in \Gamma} \tanh(\beta M_e) \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^{d_i} \right).$$

↗ degree of vertex i in Γ

If any degree d_i is odd, then upon summing over $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_n$, the corresponding term will disappear. If instead d_i is even for all $i \in [n]$, then

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_n} \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^{d_i} = 2^n,$$

as desired.

Now, we simply aim to insert the terms in (2) analytically, dropping all renning terms that contribute $1 + o_n(1)$ multiplicative fluctuations.

(II). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} x^2 - \frac{1}{12} x^4 + O(x^6)\right) &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} x^2 - \frac{1}{12} x^4 + O(x^6) + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} x^2 - \frac{1}{12} x^4 + O(x^6)\right)^2 + \dots \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} x^2 + \frac{1}{24} x^4 + O(x^6) \end{aligned}$$

$$\approx \cosh(x).$$

Thus, we anticipate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \cosh(\beta M_{i,j}) \right)^{-1} \\ &= \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\beta M_{i,j})^2 + \frac{1}{12} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\beta M_{i,j})^4 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} O(M_{i,j}^6) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\approx \exp \left(-\frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} M_{i,j}^2 + \frac{\beta^4}{12} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} M_{i,j}^4 \right).$$

Indeed, $M_{i,j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{\xi''(0)}{n})$ so the following fact applies:

$$\mathbb{E}[M_{i,j}^{2k}] = (2k-1)!! \left(\frac{\xi''(0)}{n} \right)^k = O(n^{-k}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(M_{i,j}^{2k}) &= \mathbb{E}[M_{i,j}^{4k}] - \mathbb{E}[M_{i,j}^{2k}]^2 \\ &= O(n^{-2k}). \end{aligned}$$

Without worrying too much about constants, we see that

$$S_{n,2k} := \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\beta M_{i,j})^{2k}$$

is such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[S_{n,2k}] &= \binom{n}{2} \beta^{2k} \mathbb{E}[M_{i,j}^{2k}] \\ &\asymp n^2 n^{-k} \\ &= \begin{cases} O(1), & k=2, \\ O(\frac{1}{n}), & k \geq 3. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by independence of edges, $\text{Var}(S_{n,2k}) = n^2 n^{-2k} = O(1/n^2)$, so

$$\mathbb{P}(|S_{n,4} - \mathbb{E}[S_{n,4}]| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}) \leq \frac{1}{n},$$

for instance.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} M_{i,j}^4 &= \frac{\beta^4}{12} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \mathbb{E} [M_{i,j}^4] = \frac{\beta^4}{12} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{3 \xi''(0)^2}{n^2} + o_n(1) \\ &= \frac{z^4}{8} + o_n(1), \end{aligned}$$

and, w.h.p. up to $1 + o_n(1)$ mult. fluctuations,

$$\left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \cosh(\beta M_{i,j}) \right)^{-1} \approx \exp\left(-\frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} M_{i,j}^2\right) \exp\left(\frac{z^4}{8}\right).$$

(III) For the last term, observe that for $e \in E_V(n)$,

$$\tanh(\beta M_e) = \beta M_e - \frac{\beta^3}{3} M_e^3 + \dots$$

$$= \beta M_e + o_n(1),$$

so we aim to make the approximation

$$\sum_{\Gamma \in E_V(n)} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} \tanh(\beta M_e) \approx \sum_{\Gamma \in E_V(n; K)} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} (\beta M_e).$$

Rmk: The above approximation crucially uses the fact from [ALR '87] that, in the high temp. regime, large clusters have exponentially decaying weight. We can observe this phenomenon here as well.

Each factor $\tanh(\beta M_e)$ is mean zero, the above sum is mean zero,

and for $|\Gamma| \geq K$, following [ALR '87, Lemma 3.3]:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{E}_r(n), \\ |\Gamma| \geq K}} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} \tanh(\beta M_e) \right)^2 \right]$$

[independent edges] $= \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{E}_r(n), \\ |\Gamma| \geq K}} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} \mathbb{E} [\tanh(\beta M_e)^2]$

[$|\tanh(x)| \leq |x|$] $\leq \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{E}_r(n), \\ |\Gamma| \geq K}} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} \mathbb{E} [(\beta M_e)^2]$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{E}_r(n), \\ |\Gamma| \geq K}} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} \left(\frac{z^2}{n} \right)$$

[Take $\varepsilon(k)$ s.t. $e^\varepsilon z^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}}$] $\leq e^{-\varepsilon K} \prod_{\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_r(n)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{z^2}{n} \right)^{|\gamma|} e^{\varepsilon |\gamma|} \right)$

[$1+x \leq e^x$] $\leq e^{-\varepsilon K} \exp \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_r(n)} \left(\frac{z^2 e^\varepsilon}{n} \right)^{|\gamma|} \right)$

[Partition over $|\gamma|=m$] $= e^{-\varepsilon K} \exp \left(\sum_{m=3}^n \frac{n(n-1)\dots(n-m+1)}{2^m} \left(\frac{z^2 e^\varepsilon}{n} \right)^m \right)$

$$\leq e^{-\varepsilon K} \exp \left(\sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \frac{(z^2 e^\varepsilon)^m}{2^m} \right)$$

$$\leq z^{2K} (e^\varepsilon z^2)^{-K} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (z^2 e^\varepsilon)^m \right)$$

$$= z^{2K} \exp \left(-K \log(e^\varepsilon z^2) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - e^\varepsilon z^2} \right)$$

[Use def. $e^\varepsilon z^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}}$] $\leq (z^{2K} \exp(\sqrt{2K})) \cdot \underbrace{-\log(1-\gamma) \leq \gamma + \frac{\gamma^2}{2(1-\gamma)}, \gamma \in (0,1)}_{\frac{\sqrt{2k}}{2}}$

As $z = \beta \xi''(0)^{1/2} < 1$ for $\beta < \beta_{\text{end}}$, if $K = K(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then this is $o_n(1)$! We will take $K = \log \log n$; with

this choice, we can safely discard higher order terms of $\tanh(\beta M_e)$.

Now, consider any graph $\Gamma \subseteq \binom{[n]}{2}$. We may take

$$C_\Gamma(\beta) = \sum_{\Gamma' \sim \Gamma} \prod_{e \in \Gamma'} (\beta M_e),$$

which has $\mathbb{E}[C_\Gamma(\beta)] = 0$ and

$$\mathbb{E}[C_\Gamma(\beta)^2] = \mathbb{E} \sum_{\Gamma'_1 \sim \Gamma} \sum_{\Gamma'_2 \sim \Gamma} \left(\prod_{e \in \Gamma'_1} \beta M_{e_1} \right) \left(\prod_{e \in \Gamma'_2} \beta M_{e_2} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\Gamma' \sim \Gamma} \prod_{e \in \Gamma'} \mathbb{E}[\beta^2 M_e^2]$$

$$\left[\mathbb{E}[\beta^2 M_e^2] = \frac{\beta^2 \xi''(0)}{n} = \frac{z}{n}, \right. \\ \left. \text{and } z < 1 \text{ for } \beta < \beta_{\text{end}} \right] = \sum_{\Gamma' \sim \Gamma} O(n^{-|\mathbb{E}(\Gamma')|})$$

$$= \frac{n(n-1)\dots(n-|\mathbb{V}(\Gamma')|+1)}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma')|} O(n^{-|\mathbb{E}(\Gamma')|})$$

$$= O(n^{|\mathbb{V}(\Gamma')| - |\mathbb{E}(\Gamma')|}).$$

Hence, if $\Gamma \in \mathcal{U}(n)$, total contribution is $O(1)$. If

$\Gamma \in \mathcal{E}_r(n) \setminus \mathcal{U}(n)$, then at least one vertex is repeated, and

$C_\Gamma(\beta)$ contributes $O(1/n)$. With $K = \log \log n$, this decay is sufficient!

$$\sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{E}_r(n)} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} \tanh(\beta M_e) \approx \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{U}(n; K)} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} (\beta M_e) \quad (*)$$

$$\approx \prod_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_r(n; K)} \left(1 + \prod_{e \in \Gamma} (\beta M_e) \right),$$

using the same reasoning (*) to see that multigraphs contribute subleading order in the last approximation (see appendix for careful handling of all of this).

Identically,

$$\sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{U}(n)} (-1)^{c(\Gamma)} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} (\beta M_e) \approx \prod_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_r(n; K)} \left(1 - \prod_{e \in \Gamma} (\beta M_e) \right).$$

→ number of conn. components

In turn,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\sum_{\Gamma \in \text{Ev}(n)} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} \tanh(\beta M_e) \right)^{-1} &\approx \prod_{\gamma \in \mathcal{Y}(n; k)} \left(1 + \prod_{e \in \gamma} (\beta M_e) \right)^{-1} \\
 &= \prod_{\gamma \in \mathcal{Y}(n; k)} \left(1 - \prod_{e \in \gamma} (\beta M_e) \right) \\
 &\quad \prod_{\gamma \in \mathcal{Y}(n; k)} \left(1 - \prod_{e \in \gamma} (\beta M_e)^2 \right)^{-1} \\
 &\approx \left(\sum_{\Gamma \in \text{Occ}(n; k)} (-1)^{|\Gamma|} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} (\beta M_e) \right) \\
 &\quad \prod_{\gamma \in \mathcal{Y}(n; k)} \left(1 - \prod_{e \in \gamma} (\beta M_e)^2 \right)^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we simply estimate: $\rightarrow \frac{1}{1-x^2} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (x^2)^i = 1 + x^2 + O(x^4) = e(x^2 + O(x^4))$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \prod_{\gamma \in \mathcal{Y}(n; k)} \left(1 - \prod_{e \in \gamma} (\beta M_e)^2 \right)^{-1} &\approx \exp \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{Y}(n; k)} \prod_{e \in \gamma} (\beta M_e)^2 + \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{Y}(n; k)} O \left(\prod_{e \in \gamma} M_e^4 \right) \right) \\
 &\approx \exp \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{Y}(n; k)} \left(\frac{z^2}{n} \right)^{|\gamma|} \right) \\
 &= \exp \left(\sum_{k=3}^n \frac{n(n-1)\dots(n-k+1)}{2^k} \frac{z^{2k}}{n^k} \right) \\
 &\approx \exp \left(\sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2k}}{2^k} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now, } \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2k}}{2^k} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2k}}{2^k} - \left(\frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^2}{4} \right) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \log(1-z^2) - \left(\frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^2}{4} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

yielding

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{III})^{-1} &= \left(\sum_{\Gamma \in E_n(n)} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} \tanh(\beta M_e) \right)^{-1} \\
 &\approx (1-z^2)^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{z^2}{2} - \frac{z^4}{4}\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{N}(n;K)} (-1)^{|\text{cor}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} (\beta M_e) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

In summary, the final reweighting factor is then:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_G(\beta) &:= (\text{I})^{-1} (\text{II})^{-1} (\text{III})^{-1} + o_n(1) \\
 &\approx (1-z^2)^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{n\beta^2}{2} + \frac{nz^2}{4} - \frac{z^2}{2} - \frac{z^4}{4}\right) \\
 &\quad \exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{2} \text{Tr}(M) - \frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{i < j} M_{i,j}^2\right) \\
 &\quad \left(\sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{N}(n;K)} (-1)^{|\text{cor}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} (\beta M_e) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

As desired, $A_G(\beta)$ is analytic in β on $\overline{\mathbb{D}(0, R)}$, as $z = \beta \bar{z}'(0)^{1/2}$ satisfies $|z| < 1$ on this region. Moreover, $A_G(\beta)$ satisfies $A_G(0) = 1$ so that for $X_G(\beta) := A_G(\beta) Z_G(\beta)$, we can faithfully apply Jensen's formula and use the upper bound

$$\mathbb{E}_G \left| \frac{X_G(\beta)}{X_G(0)} \right|^2 \leq 1 + o_n(1), \quad \beta \in \overline{\mathbb{D}(0, R)}$$

to conclude zero-freeness.

Future Directions

1) Genuine polynomial time algorithms up to β_{2nd} ?

↳ So far no extension of method of Patel and Regts, which works in for various edge-coloring problems, to the mean-field spin glass setting.

2) Can $Z_G(\beta)$ be approximated up to β_{PS} ?

3) Even for Sherrington-Kirkpatrick, it is not clear how to handle the presence of an external field:

$$Z_{G, \beta, \lambda}(\sigma) := \mathbb{E}_{\sigma \sim e} [\exp(\beta \mathcal{H}_G(\sigma) + \lambda \cdot \langle \sigma, \mathbf{1} \rangle)]$$

Even beyond this, what if the external field is random? Is this easier or harder to handle?

↳ See "A Constructive Proof of the Spherical Parisi Formula" by Huang and Sellke, which describes the limiting free energy per site (including in the low-temp. phase) for mixed p-spin Hamiltonians with a random external field.

Backup: Outline of Rigorous Proof

Prop: Let $X_G(\beta) = Z_G(\beta) A_G(\beta)$, with reweighting $A_G(\beta)$ as above. Then,

$$\mathbb{E}_G \left[\left| \frac{X_G(\beta)}{X_G(0)} \right|^2 \right] = 1 + o_n(1), \quad \beta \in \overline{D(0, R)}.$$

pf:

Step 1) Observe that

$$\mathbb{E}_G \left[\left| \frac{X_G(\beta)}{X_G(0)} \right|^2 \right] =$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \tau \sim e} \mathbb{E}_G [\exp(\beta \mathcal{H}_G(\sigma) + \bar{\beta} \mathcal{H}_G(\tau)) A_G(\beta) A_G(\bar{\beta})].$$

Now, note that $f(G) := A_G(\beta) A_G(\bar{\beta})$ becomes, for some poly.

p in G ,

$$f(G) = \exp\left(-\operatorname{Re}(\beta) \operatorname{Tr}(M) - \operatorname{Re}(\beta^2) \sum_{i < j} M_{ij}^2\right) p(G)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\operatorname{Re}(z) \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \sum_i G_{ii} - \frac{\operatorname{Re}(z^2)}{2n} (G_{i,j} + G_{j,i})^2\right) p(G).$$

If we take $m = n^2 + n^3 + \dots + n^{p_{\max}}$,

$$G = (G_{i_1, \dots, i_p} : 2 \leq p \leq p_{\max}, 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq n) \in \mathbb{C}^m,$$

then the following lemma applies, proven via a Gaussian change of measure:

Lemma: Define, for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_n$, the planted Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_G^{\beta, \sigma, \tau}(x) := \mathcal{H}_G(x) + \beta \zeta\left(\frac{\langle x, \sigma \rangle}{n}\right) + \bar{\beta} \zeta\left(\frac{\langle x, \tau \rangle}{n}\right).$$

Then, if $f(G) = \exp(\langle A, G \rangle + \langle w, G \rangle) p(G)$, where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is symmetric, $A \leq \frac{1}{2} I_m$, $w \in \mathbb{C}^m$, and p is poly.,

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(\beta \mathcal{H}_G(\sigma) + \bar{\beta} \mathcal{H}_G(\tau)) f(\mathcal{H}_G)] =$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(\beta \mathcal{H}_G(\sigma) + \bar{\beta} \mathcal{H}_G(\tau))] \mathbb{E}[f(\mathcal{H}_G^{\beta, \sigma, \tau})].$$

Applying this above, with

$$A_{(i_1, \dots, i_p)(j_1, \dots, j_p)} = -\frac{\operatorname{Re}(z^2)}{2n} \mathbb{1}_{[p=p'=2, i_1 \neq i_2 \text{ and } (i_1, i_2) = (j_1, j_2) \text{ or } (i_1, i_2) = (j_2, j_1)]},$$

block 2×2 diagonal

so that $A \leq \max\left(-\frac{\operatorname{Re}(z^2)}{2n}, 0\right) I \leq \frac{1}{n} I$, we see that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \frac{X_G(\beta)}{X_G(0)} \right|^2 \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\sigma, \tau \sim e} \left[\frac{\mathbb{E}_G [\exp(\beta H_G(\sigma) + \bar{\beta} H_G(\tau))]}{\mathbb{E}^{\text{Pr}, \beta, \sigma, \tau} [A_G(\beta) A_G(\bar{\beta})]} \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{z \sim \text{ov}(\rho)} \mathbb{E}_{(\sigma, \tau) \sim e_2(q)} \left[\frac{\mathbb{E}_G [\exp(\beta H_G(\sigma) + \bar{\beta} H_G(\tau))]}{\mathbb{E}^{\text{Pr}, \beta, \sigma, \tau} [A_G(\beta) A_G(\bar{\beta})]} \right]$$

\downarrow overlap dist. \downarrow overlap cond. on overlap z

Step 2)

If $(\sigma, \tau) \sim e_2(q)$, then

$$\mathbb{E}_G [\exp(\beta H_G(\sigma) + \bar{\beta} H_G(\tau))] = \exp(n \operatorname{Re}(\beta^2) \xi(1) + n |\beta|^2 \xi(q))$$

On the other hand, if for $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ we define

$$W^{\beta, \sigma, \tau} = W + \frac{\beta}{n} \sigma \sigma^T + \frac{\bar{\beta}}{n} \tau \tau^T,$$

Feferman algebra shows that under the planted law,

$$A_G(\beta) A_G(\bar{\beta}) =$$

$$(*) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |1 - z^2|^{-1} \exp\left(-n \operatorname{Re}(\beta^2) \xi(1) + \frac{n}{2} \operatorname{Re}(z^2) - \operatorname{Re}(z^2) - \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Re}(z^4)\right) \\ \exp\left(-\operatorname{Re}(z) \operatorname{Tr}(W^{z, \sigma, \tau}) - \operatorname{Re}(z^2) \sum_{i < j} (W_{ij}^{z, \sigma, \tau})^2\right) \\ \cdot f_z(W^{z, \sigma, \tau}), \end{array} \right.$$

where $W \sim \text{GOE}(n)$ and

$$f_\lambda(W) = \left(\sum_{\Gamma \in \text{UC}(n)} (-1)^{|\text{cut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} (\lambda W_e) \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{\Gamma \in \text{UC}(n)} (-1)^{|\text{cut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} (\bar{\lambda} W_e) \right).$$

If we define $\mathbb{E}_{(z, \tau) \sim \rho_2(q)} \mathbb{E}_{W \sim \text{GOE}(n)} [(\ast)] =: \text{Val}(z, q)$,

then

$$\mathbb{E}_G \left[\left| \frac{X_G(\beta)}{X_G(0)} \right|^2 \right] = \mathbb{E}_{q \sim \text{or}(e)} \left[\exp(n |\beta|^2 \zeta(q)) \text{Val}(z, q) \right].$$

Step 3) [BHLLR Prop. 3.4]

For some $\alpha \in (0, 1/4)$, show that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{q \sim \text{or}(e)} \left[\mathbb{1}[|q| \leq n^{-1/2 + \alpha} \exp(n |\beta|^2 \zeta(q))] \right] \\ \leq (1 - |z|^2)^{-1/2} + o_n(1), \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{q \sim \text{or}(e)} \left[\mathbb{1}[|q| > n^{-1/2 + \alpha} \exp(n |\beta|^2 \zeta(q))] \right] \\ \leq \exp(-\Omega(n^{2\alpha})), \end{aligned}$$

Step 4) [BHLLR Prop. 3.5]

Show that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}(0, 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ and $q \in [-1, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Val}(\lambda, q)| &\leq O(2^{4K}) \\ &= O(\log n). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $q \in \text{supp } \text{or}(e)$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1/4)$ is as above,

$$\text{Val}(\lambda, q) = (1 - |\lambda|^2)^{1/2} + o_n(1).$$

Step 5)

To conclude,

$$\mathbb{E}_G \left[\left| \frac{X_G(\beta)}{X_G(0)} \right|^2 \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{q \sim \text{or}(e)} \left[\exp(n |\beta|^2 \xi(q)) \text{Val}(z, q) \right].$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{q \sim \text{or}(e)} \left[\mathbb{1}[|q| \leq n^{-1/2+\kappa}] \exp(n |\beta|^2 \xi(q)) \text{Val}(z, q) \right]$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}_{q \sim \text{or}(e)} \left[\mathbb{1}[|q| > n^{-1/2+\kappa}] \exp(n |\beta|^2 \xi(q)) \text{Val}(z, q) \right]$$

$$\mathbb{1}[|z| \leq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}] \leq ((1 - |z|^2)^{1/2} + o_n(1)) \mathbb{E}_{q \sim \text{or}(e)} \left[\mathbb{1}[|q| \leq n^{-1/2+\kappa}] \exp(n |\beta|^2 \xi(q)) \right]$$

$$+ O(\log n) \mathbb{E}_{q \sim \text{or}(e)} \left[\mathbb{1}[|q| > n^{-1/2+\kappa}] \exp(n |\beta|^2 \xi(q)) \right]$$

$$\leq ((1 - |z|^2)^{1/2} + o_n(1)) ((1 - |z|^2)^{-1/2} + o_n(1)) + O(\log n) \exp(-\Omega(n^{2\kappa}))$$

$$= 1 + o_n(1). \quad \square$$

Backup: High-Order Terms in the Cluster Expansion

In the above derivation, we required that sums of the following form are $o_n(1)$ to safely ignore: for

$$\mathcal{C}(n; k) \subseteq \left\{ \Gamma \in \binom{[n]}{2} : |\Gamma| \leq k \right\},$$

some class of graphs (e.g., $\mathcal{C}(n; k) = \text{Ev}(n; k) \setminus \mathcal{U}(n; k)$),

$$\sum_{[\Gamma] \in \mathcal{C}(n; k) / \sim} \sum_{\Gamma' \sim \Gamma} \prod_{e \in \Gamma'} (\beta M_e) = \sum_{[\Gamma] \in \mathcal{C}(n; k) / \sim} C_\Gamma(\beta).$$

We showed above that $\mathbb{E}[(\Gamma(\beta))^2] = O(n^{|\nu(\Gamma)| - |\mathbb{E}(\Gamma)|})$,
 meaning that its fluctuations are $o_n(1)$, due to Chebyshev, if
 $\mathcal{C}(n; K)$ is such that $|\nu(\Gamma)| < |\mathbb{E}(\Gamma)|$ for all $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}(n; K)$.

We can thus investigate the combinatorial sum on the outside.

Lemma: For any set $\mathcal{C}(n; K)$, as defined above, and $K = \log \log n$,

$$|\{[\Gamma] \in \mathcal{C}(n; K) / \sim\}| = o(n).$$

pf: Any $[\Gamma] \in \mathcal{C}(n; K) / \sim$ can be ^{uniquely} identified with an
 isomorphism class of a graph on at most K edges, with no
 isolated vertices.

Thus, we can bound the number of graphs on up to K edges,
 up to isomorphism. We first count graphs with exactly m edges,
 which have at most $2m$ (non-isolated) vertices. Thus, we can
 get an upper bound if we count graphs on $2m$ vertices (not
 necessarily non-isolated) and m edges. This yields

$$\binom{\binom{2m}{2}}{m} \leq \binom{(2m)^2}{m} \\ \leq \frac{(2m^2)^m}{m!}.$$

By Stirling's formula, $m! \geq (m/e)^m$, so we obtain

$$\binom{\binom{2m}{2}}{m} \leq \frac{(2m^2)^m}{(m/e)^m} = (2em)^m.$$

Summing over all $m \leq K$, we get a bound of

$$K(2eK)^K = (2e)^K K^{K+1}.$$

Taking $K = \log \log n$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\{[\Gamma] \in \mathcal{C}(n; K) / \sim\}| &\leq (2e)^{\log \log n} \cdot (\log \log n)^{\log \log n} \cdot \log \log n \\
 \left[\begin{array}{l} (\log \log n)^{\log \log n} = o(n^\varepsilon) \text{ for} \\ \text{all } \varepsilon > 0 \end{array} \right] &\leq O\left((\log n)^2 \cdot n^{0.001} \cdot \log \log n \right) \\
 &= o(n). \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

From this lemma, we can indeed say that

$$\sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{E}_r(n)} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} \tanh(\beta M_e) = \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{E}_r(n; K)} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} (\beta M_e) + o_n(1), \text{ w.h.p.,}$$

and that

$$\sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{E}_r(n; K)} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} (\beta M_e) = \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{U}(n; K)} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} (\beta M_e) + o_n(1), \text{ w.h.p.,}$$

applying our lemma with (a) $\mathcal{C}(n; K) = \mathcal{E}_r(n; K)$,

(b) $\mathcal{C}(n; K) = \mathcal{E}_r(n; K) \setminus \mathcal{U}(n; K)$.

Similarly, terms of the form

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_\gamma(n; K)} O\left(\prod_{e \in \gamma} M_e^4 \right) = o_n(1), \text{ w.h.p.,}$$

using $\mathcal{C}(n; K) = \mathcal{C}_\gamma(n; K)$ and that $\mathbb{E}[M_e^4] = O(1/n^2)$.

Now, only one loose end remains in our cluster expansion computation:

$$\sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{U}(n; K)} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} (\beta M_e) = \prod_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_\gamma(n; K)} \left(1 + \prod_{e \in \Gamma} (\beta M_e) \right) + o_n(1), \text{ w.h.p.,}$$

Indeed, the product on the right yields multigraphs, which require some care to discard. First, we write:

$$\prod_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_\gamma(n;K)} (1 + \prod_{e \in \Gamma} (\beta M_e)) = \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{E}_\gamma(n) \setminus \mathcal{E}_\gamma(n;K)} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} (\beta M_e) + \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{E}_\gamma(n;K)} \prod_{e \in \Gamma} (\beta M_e) + \sum_{\Gamma^* \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{mult}}(n;K)} \prod_{e \in \Gamma^*} (\beta M_e) + \sum_{\Gamma^* \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{mult}}(n) \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\text{mult}}(n;K)} \prod_{e \in \Gamma^*} (\beta M_e),$$

where

$\mathcal{M}_{\text{mult}}(n;K) = \{ \Gamma^* \text{ multigraph on } n \text{ vertices with } \leq |K| \text{ total edges} \}$,
 formed as a product of unique cycles.

and $\mathcal{M}_{\text{mult}}(n)$ is the obvious constraint-free analogue. Now, the first and last terms are $o_n(1)$ w.h.p. because (1) if $|\Gamma| \geq K$, the contribution to cluster expansion is $o_n(1)$, as seen above, and (2), we can apply the exact same reasoning to see that the last term is also $o_n(1)$. What remains is the third term:

Prop: With $\mathcal{M}_{\text{mult}}(n;K)$ as defined above and $K = \log \log n$,

$$\sum_{\Gamma^* \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{mult}}(n;K)} \prod_{e \in \Gamma^*} (\beta M_e) = o_n(1), \text{ w.h.p.}$$

pf: As usual, the sum in question has mean zero; any such Γ^* is a product of unique cycles and hence one multi-edge in

Γ^* has multiplicity one. Now,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{\Gamma^* \in \text{Mult}(n; K)} \prod_{e \in \Gamma^*} (\beta M_e) \right)^2 \right] =$$

$$(*) \sum_{\Gamma_1^*, \Gamma_2^*} \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma_1^*, \Gamma_2^*} \beta^2 M_{e_1} M_{e_2} \right].$$

Again the inner expectation is nonzero iff each edge in $\Gamma_1^* \cup \Gamma_2^*$ is repeated an even number of times. If $\Gamma_1^* \cup \Gamma_2^*$ is of this form, then the total number of edges, with multiplicity, is denoted

$|E(\Gamma_1^* \cup \Gamma_2^*)|$, and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma_1^*, \Gamma_2^*} \beta^2 M_{e_1} M_{e_2} \right] = O\left(n^{-\frac{|E(\Gamma_1^* \cup \Gamma_2^*)|}{2}}\right),$$

recalling that $\mathbb{E}[M_e^k] = O(n^{-k})$. Now, we partition pairs $\Gamma_1^* \cup \Gamma_2^*$ into equivalence classes, with

$$\Gamma_1^* \cup \Gamma_2^* \sim \Gamma_3^* \cup \Gamma_4^*$$

iff the underlying graph topologies (ignoring multiplicities) are the same. Because the underlying graph topologies correspond to graphs on at most $2K$ edges,

(*) can be bounded by:

$$\sum_{[\Gamma] \in \mathcal{C}(n; 2K) / \sim} \sum_{[\Gamma_1^* \cup \Gamma_2^*] = [\Gamma]} \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma_1^*, \Gamma_2^*} \beta^2 M_{e_1} M_{e_2} \right]$$

$$\leq \sum_{[\Gamma] \in \mathcal{C}(n; 2K) / \sim} \sum_{\Gamma_1^* \cup \Gamma_2^* \sim \Gamma} n^{-\frac{|E(\Gamma_1^* \cup \Gamma_2^*)|}{2}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{[\Gamma] \in \mathcal{C}(n; 2k)/\sim} n^{-|E(\Gamma)|} \sum_{\Gamma_1^* \cup \Gamma_2^* \sim \Gamma} 1$$

$$\leq \sum_{[\Gamma] \in \mathcal{C}(n; 2k)/\sim} n^{|V(\Gamma)| - |E(\Gamma)|}$$

Above, $\Gamma_1^*, \Gamma_2^* \in \text{Mult}(n; k)$ are such that each edge in $\Gamma_1^* \cup \Gamma_2^*$ has even multiplicity and each vertex has degree at least two (Γ_1^*, Γ_2^* are products of cycles), then

$$\frac{1}{2} |E(\Gamma_1^* \cup \Gamma_2^*)| \geq |E(\Gamma)| \geq |V(\Gamma)| + 1,$$

so the summand above is indeed $O(\frac{1}{n})$ for each $[\Gamma] \in \mathcal{C}(n; 2k)/\sim$.

Finally, as shown above, the combinatorial factor from the outer sum is bounded by

$$(2e)^{2k} (2k)^{2k+1} \leq O((\log n)^4) O(2 \log \log n) \cdot O(2 \log \log n^{2 \log \log n})$$

$$= \tilde{o}(n^{0.001})$$

so the variance of the terms contributed by $\Gamma^* \in \text{Mult}(n; k)$ is indeed $o_n(1)$, and by Chebyshev's inequality, the conclusion follows. \square

External Field Calculations

With a Gaussian external field,

$$\mathbb{E}[\beta \mathcal{H}_0(\sigma) + \lambda \cdot \langle \sigma, g \rangle] = 0$$

$$\mathbb{E}[(\beta \mathcal{H}_0(\sigma) + \lambda \cdot \langle \sigma, g \rangle) (\beta \mathcal{H}_0(\tau) + \lambda \cdot \langle \tau, g \rangle)] =$$

$$\beta^2 n \cdot \xi \left(\frac{\langle \tau, \sigma \rangle}{n} \right) + \lambda^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i g_i \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n \tau_j g_j \right] =$$

$$n \beta^2 \xi \left(\frac{\langle \tau, \sigma \rangle}{n} \right) + \lambda^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sigma_i \tau_j \mathbb{E}[g_i, g_j] =$$

$$n \beta^2 \xi \left(\frac{\langle \tau, \sigma \rangle}{n} \right) + \boxed{\lambda^2 \langle \tau, \sigma \rangle}.$$

The highlighted term has, in general, $O(n)$ fluctuations for $\sigma, \tau \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \rho$. This precludes any usage of the second moment method, at least without serious modifications.